## Applicant(s) Application No. SIEGEL ET AL. 09/539.834 Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Sameh H. Tawfik 3721 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3)Todd Siegel. (1) Sameh H. Tawfik. (4)\_\_\_\_. (2) Robert Depke. Date of Interview: 16 February 2005. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 7. Identification of prior art discussed: of record. Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\square$ was reached. g) $\square$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: applicant clarified the novelty of the invention by selectively moving the funnel or templets in two different directions to feed different pharmaceutical product into same cavity of the template. Applicant will amend the claim to clarify and overcome the 112 issue. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature if required